Today's Presentation



Designing a Randomized Clinical Trial Experiences from the Department of Anesthesiology

Aurora Quaye MD Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine

Maine Medical Center

November 29, 2023



Designing a Randomized Clinical Trial

Experiences from the Department of Anesthesiology



Aurora Quaye MD Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine Maine Medical Center November 29, 2023

- Clear importance of research question
- Randomization plan
- Intent-to-treat principles
- Blinding
- Minimization of variation
- Selection of control group
- Ensure study plan is feasible

A prospective Randomized Trial of Liposomal Bupivacaine Compared to Conventional Bupivacaine on Pain Control and Postoperative Opioid Use in Adults Receiving Adductor Canal Blocks for Total Knee Arthroplasty

Aurora Quaye MD, Brian McAllister MD, Joseph Garcia MD, Orion Nohr MD, Sarah Laduzenski MD, Lucy Mack FNP-C, Christine Kerr DO, Danielle Kerr DO, Charonne Razafindralay BS, Janelle Richards BA, Wendy Craig, PhD, Stephen Rodrigue MD Why is this research question important? Total Knee Arthroplasty is most common inpatient elective orthopedic surgical procedure performed in the United States- Inadequate postoperative analgesia associated with impaired mobility and persistent opioid use

Adductor canal blocks (ACBs) improve time to participation in physical therapy and decreased opioid consumption compared to opioid use alone

Standard of care conventional bupivacaine nerve blocks provide 24 hours of pain relief with significant rebound pain after block resolution

Liposomal bupivacaine (LB) is an extended-release local anesthetic that can provide up to 72 hours of pain relief. Conflicting evidence on utility in ACBs. LB is over 300x more costly than conventional bupivacaine

Research Question

Does Liposomal bupivacaine lead to decreased pain scores and opioid consumption compared to conventional bupivacaine in ACBs for Total Knee Arthroplasty?



INTERVENTION:10cc 13.3% liposomal bupivacaine + 10cc 0.25% bupivacaineACTIVE CONTROL:20cc 0.5% bupivacainewithin one hour following surgery

• Clear importance of research question

Randomization plan

Intent-to-treat principles

• Blinding

- Minimization of variation
- Selection of control group
- Ensure study plan is feasible



Randomization plan: control for bias associated with treatment selection

Two treatment arms 1:1 ratio using Nquery software concealed in opaque envelopes

l a

Intent-to-Treat analysis: data

analyzed according to randomization plan regardless of treatment adherence



PLACEBO

Blinding: preventing bias by concealing intervention assignments to minimize unintentional influence



Selection of control group: baseline for comparison to ensure accurate assessment of treatment effects



Enrollment Outcomes



Results

Variable ^a	Bupivacaine type used in adductor canal block (treatment arm)			_									
	Liposomal	Conventional	p-value										
Pain scores over time													
PACU													
Ν	40	40											
Average pain score	0 [0-3.1]	0 [0-2.0]	0.94 ^b	- р	50								
Maximum pain score	0 [0-6.5]	0 [0-4.0]	0.94 ^b	ive	45								
POD-0				ece	40								•- Liposc
Ν	40	40		- i 0	35				<u> </u>				bupiva
Average pain score	3.2 [2.5-4.4]	3.9 [2.6-4.8]	0.36 ^b	lose	- 30			/ [-		
Maximum pain score	6 [5-7]	7 [5.1-8]	0.25 ^b	d d d d	25					-	•		
POD-1					23			1			+		Conve
Ν	36	35		- io	20					_			bupiva
Average pain score	4.5 [3.0-5.7]	5 [3.6-6.0]	0.43 ^b	SE	15						-		
Maximum pain score	6.7 [4-7]	7 [5-7.5]	0.61 ^b	n ()	10								
POD-2				 Iea	5								
Ν	34	34		- 2	0								
Average pain score	4 [1.9-5.8]	4.2 [3.0-5.6]	0.54 ^b			PACU	U	POD-0	PO	D-1	POD-	2	
Maximum pain score	4.5 [2-7]	5.5 [4-7]	0.27 ^b										
Post-operative hospitalization													
Total time in PACU (hours)	1.7 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.7	0.14°										
Time, anesthesia start to discharge (hours)	25 [7.3-28.9]	23.7 [21.5-27.1]	0.478 ^b	_									

Liposomal bupivacaine associated with reduced opioid consumption with no difference in pain scores

Feasibility of Study Plan

- Research question important to institution/collaborating group
- Research question interesting to anesthesia department
 - Attending anesthesiologists performed nerve blocks
 - Medical students and residents assisted with patient calls
 - Nurse Practitioner collected data from EMR
- Viable consenting plan given limitations inherent to Anesthesia
- Low budget study strategy
- Active control arm identical to current standard of care
- Intervention study arm with minimal risk



• Clear importance of research question

Randomization plan

Intent-to-treat principles

• Blinding

- Minimization of variation
- Selection of control group
- Ensure study plan is feasible

Buprenorphine and Acute Pain Management

- Partial opioid agonist antagonist used to treat opioid use disorder
- No consensus on optimal management to facilitate analgesia
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Recommendations:
 - **Continue** buprenorphine with agonist opioids
 - DOWNSIDE: elevated opioid doses required for adequate analgesia
 - **Discontinue** buprenorphine with agonist opioids for analgesia and withdrawal prevention
 - DOWNSIDE: premature discontinuation of BUP associated with increased rates of illicit opioid use
- Duration required to for long-term opioid abstinence unknown
 - Discontinuation of MAT for OUD associated with increased rates of illicit opioid use
 - 82% of subjects relapsed 1-month post BUP cessation (Weiss, 2011)

Recommendations for Postoperative Management

Clinical Pearl: Buprenorphine home dose should not be routinely discontinued or tapered perioperatively



Kohan L, Barreveld AM, et al Buprenorphine management in the perioperative period: educational review and recommendations from a multisociety expert panel Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine 2021;46:840-859

ASRA 2023 Recommendations for Perioperative Management



- Consider splitting BUP dose into Q6-8 h to provide improved analgesia
- · Titrate short acting full agonist opioids to effect as needed and consider close monitoring
- Anticipate opioid tolerance and higher doses of short acting full agonist compared to opioid naive patients
- Use multimodal analgesia to optimize pain control*
- Consider IV opioids or PCAs if patient not tolerating orals

*Multimodal analgesia includes but are not limited to regional techniques, scheduled acetaminophen, NSAIDS if not contraindicated, calcium channel blockers like gabapentin or pregabalin, topical lidocaine, oral and parenteral opicids, intravenous ketamine infusion etc.

BUP -- buprenorphine; APS -- acute pain service; PCA -- patient-controlled analgesia

Figure 1: Perioperative Buprenorphine Management Algorithm

Prospective, Randomized Trial of the Effect of Buprenorphine Continuation on Pain Control and Post-Operative Opioid Use **Aim 1:** To compare pain control efficacy of perioperative buprenorphine continuation to low dose reduction in adults maintained on buprenorphine for OUD.

Aim 2: To compare postoperative opioid consumption in adults maintained on buprenorphine for OUD, measured as morphine milligram equivalents (MME) at 24, 48 and 72 hours postoperatively.

Aim 3: To compare OUD symptom severity and depressive symptoms; measured preoperatively and 30 days postoperatively using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), Current Opioid Misuse Measure (COMM), and Opioid Craving Scale (OCS) instruments.

Aim 4: To compare the number of opioid prescriptions and quantity (in MME) of opioid medications dispensed, up to 30 days following surgery



- Clear importance of research question
- Randomization plan
- Intent-to-treat principles
- Blinding
- Minimization of variation
- Selection of control group
- Ensure study plan is feasible

Challenging consent/randomization process prior to surgery

Multiple service lines to coordinate with

LOW BUP (buprenorphine continuation at 8mg) Randomization FULL BUP (buprenorphine continuation at full dose) PACU 24hr 48hr 72hr 1month 1-2 months VAS VAS VAS VAS OPI OPI OPI OPI MainePAT consent DOS Bup provider PHQ-9 PHQ-9 OCS COMM OCS COMM Randomization based on surgical type

Control group low dose continuationdiscontinuation was prior standard

Subjects not blinded to treatmentopportunities for dropout

PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnairre-9 OCS: Opioid Craving Scale COMM: current opioid misuse measure

Many opportunities for missing data Due to subject heterogeneity and various instruments administered

BUPRENORPHINE STUDY- LESSONS LEARNED

- Very challenging to recruitment opioid tolerant patients pre-operatively
- Complexity of study required multiple sites of recruitment to minimize surgical types

OUTCOMES IDENTIFIED

- All patients on their full buprenorphine dose one month following surgery
- No patients with evidence of opioid relapse (provider and patient report)
- **NEXT STEPS:** Use registry data to execute retrospective analysis for buprenorphine full dose continuation vs low dose continuation